SYNTHESIS OF 2-CHLORO-1.3-BENZODIOXOLE

Miss W. de Priester and A.P.M. van der Veek

Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, The University, P.O. Box 75, Leiden, The Netherlands (Received in Holland 6 November 1969; received in UK for publication 13 November 1969)

The stability of 2-chloro-1,3-dioxolanea and of the analogous dialkoxyalkyl chlorides has been given considerable attention during the last decade 1-13. Following the considerations given by J.W. Scheeren one should expect 2-chloro-1,3-benzodioxole (I) to be a reasonable stable compound in contrast with the recent statement of H. Gross and B. Costisella 10. These authors described the synthesis of some 2-chloro-1,3-benzodioxoles substituted in the aromatic ring by electron withdrawing substituents. They performed this synthesis by the action of sulfurylchloride on the corresponding 1,3-benzodioxoles 10-11. Because of the inapplicability of this method to 1,2-benzodioxole itself and having failed to prepare I from 2-ethoxy-1,3-benzodioxole (II) and PCl₅ in an earlier attempt 12, they concluded compound I to be "nicht existenzfähig". (Instead of the monochlorocompound the dichlorocompound 2,2-dichloro-1,3-benzodioxole was isolated from both reactions).

We succeeded in preparing I starting from 2-acetoxy-1,3-benzodioxole (III)^{14,15} being a more polar and more reactive compound compared to II, and asym. dichlorodimethyl ether (IV)^{12,16,17,18} in refluxing benzene. IV seemed to be a more gentle reagent for "ionic" chlorination as compared to FCl₅.

1,2
$$c_6 H_4 o_2 choA_6 + cHcl_2 ocH_3 = 1,2 c_6 H_4 o_2 cHcl + cHcl(ocH_3)(oA_6)$$
 (1)

Reaction (1) is made irreversible by the decomposition of the highly unstable v^{16} .

$$CHC1(OCH3)(OAc) \longrightarrow HCO(OCH3) + AcC1$$
 (2)

Since it was presumed that radical substitutions were responsible for the disagreement between the considerations of Scheeren⁹ and the experiments of Gross and Costisella¹⁰, radical sources were excluded by using 2,6-di-t.-butyl p-cresol as scavenger; the experiments were carried out in a nitrogen atmosphere throughout.

Compound I was obtained in about 50% yield by distilling off methyl formate and AcCl (N₂ atmosphere, exclusion of moisture) as they were formed from reaction mixtures of compounds III and IV in benzene (molar ratio 1:1.1:3). After ~ one eq. of methyl formate and of AcCl had been removed the reaction mixture was fractionated under reduced pressure. A fraction boiling at 62-63 °C/0.5 mm was isolated and identified as I by its P.M.R.-spectrum in CCl₄ solution: 7.05 p.p.m. (S), relative integral 4 and 7.98 p.p.m. (S) relative integral 1.

Compound I was further identified by its reaction with ethanol and triethylamine which provides II in 90% yield and by its reaction with NaAc in acetonitrile giving NaCl and III in 90% yield.

The title compound is being subjected to further experiments.

References

- 1. H.W. Post and E.R. Erickson, J.Org. Chem. 1, 231 (1936)
- 2. A. Magnani and S.M. Elvain, J.Am. Chem. Soc. 60, 2210 (1938)
- 3. H. Baganz and L. Domaschke, Chem. Ber. 91, 653 (1958)
- 4. S. Httnig, Angew. Chem. 76, 400 (1964)
- 5. H. Gross and E. Hoft, Z. Chem. 4, 417 (1964)
- 6. H. Gross and J. Freiberg, Chem. Ber. 100, 3777 (1967)
- 7. J. Jonas, T.P. Forrest, M. Kratochvil and H. Gross, <u>J.Org.Chem.</u> 33, 2126 (1968)
- 8. H. Gross, J. Freiberg and B. Costisella, Chem. Ber. 101, 1250 (1968)
- 9. J.W. Scheeren, Tetrahedron Letters 54, 5613 (1968)
- 10. H. Gross and B. Costisella, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 720, 146 (1968)
- 11. Mannosuke Arai, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 35, 1272 (1962)
- 12. H. Gross, A. Rieche and E. Höft, Chem. Ber. 94, 544 (1961)
- 13. H. Gross and J. Rusche, Chem. Ber. 99, 2625 (1966)
- 14. J.W. Scheeren, A.P.M. van der Veek and W. Stevens, Rec. Trav. Chim. 88, 195 (1969)
- 15. A.P.M. van der Veek, forthcoming Thesis, Leiden
- 16. A. Rieche and H. Gross, Chem. Ber. 92, 83 (1959)
- 17. A. Rieche, H. Gross and E. Höft, Chem. Ber. 93, 88 (1960)
- 18. H. Laato, Suomen Kemistilehti B 32, 66 (1959)